

SOME INTERESTING OPEN PROBLEMS

AIDAN BACKUS

1. CALCULUS OF VARIATIONS IN NONREFLEXIVE BANACH SPACES

Problem 1.1 (Evans). Let u be an ∞ -harmonic function on a domain in \mathbf{R}^2 . Must $u \in C_{\text{loc}}^{4/3}$?

Problem 1.2. Consider the scalar PDE

$$A^{ijkl} \partial_i \partial_j u \partial_k u \partial_l u = F(u)$$

on a domain in \mathbf{R}^2 , where $F(u)$ is a smooth first-order nonlinearity and A is a smooth elliptic tensor. (Here, you can be loose about what “elliptic tensor” means, but at least the class of PDE considered should include the ∞ -Laplacian in the presence of a Riemannian metric.)

Show that the *Evans-Savin theorem* [ES08] holds: there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that $u \in C_{\text{loc}}^{1+\alpha}$.

Problem 1.3. Let u be a function of least gradient on a simply connected domain in \mathbf{R}^d . Show that there exist functions u_{ac}, u_C, u_j of least gradient such that:

- (1) $u = u_{ac} + u_C + u_j$.
- (2) $u_{ac} \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1} \cap C_{\text{loc}}^0$.
- (3) $u_C \in C_{\text{loc}}^0$ and $\text{supp}(|du_C|)$ has Lebesgue measure zero.
- (4) $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\text{supp}|du_j|) \leq d - 1$.

This holds for $d \leq 7$ by [Bac24a, Proposition 4.6]; the obstruction to this holding for arbitrary BV functions is basically topological in nature and so should not be detected by codimension 8 singularities.

Problem 1.4. Let u be a solution of the total variation flow on a convex domain in \mathbf{R}^d , with Dirichlet boundary data.

- (1) Under what conditions on the initial and boundary data do the level sets of u undergo mean curvature flow?
- (2) If the level sets are undergoing mean curvature flow, do they form a lamination?

A Borel set Λ is *Hausdorff equidimensional* if for every $s < \dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\Lambda)$, $x \in \Lambda$, and $r > 0$, the restriction of the Hausdorff measure \mathcal{H}^s to $B(x, r) \cap \Lambda$ is not σ -finite.

Problem 1.5. For each $2 \leq p < \infty$, let u_p be a p -harmonic function on a simply connected, nonpositively curved surface M , all of which have the same boundary data. Let

$$dv_q := |du_p|^{p-2} \star du_p$$

where $1/p + 1/q = 1$. Then v_q is a well-defined function and there exists a function v of least gradient such that $v_q \rightarrow v$ in $L_{\text{loc}}^{3/2}$ along a subsequence. Thus the energy density of u_p concentrates as $p \rightarrow \infty$ on the set $\Lambda := \text{supp}(|dv|)$.

Show that Λ is Hausdorff equidimensional. The intuition for this comes from [Bac24b, Theorem 1.6], which is a quantitative version of this in some special cases.

Date: January 27, 2025.

Given a matrix A , let $Q(A) := (AA^\dagger)^{1/2}$ be the positive-semidefinite part of A . A map $u : M \rightarrow N$ is *Schatten p -harmonic* if $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}$ and

$$\nabla_u^*(Q(du)^{p-2} du) = 0,$$

where ∇_u^* is the covariant divergence.

Problem 1.6 (Daskalopoulos–Uhlenbeck). Let $u : M \rightarrow N$ is Schatten p -harmonic where $p > \dim M$.

- (1) Show that $Q(du)^{p-2} du \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}$. This holds if $M = N = \mathbf{H}^2$ [DU22, Theorem 4.17].
- (2) Must $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,\infty}$?
- (3) Must there exist $\alpha > 0$ such that $u \in C_{\text{loc}}^{1+\alpha}$?

A map u is *Schatten ∞ -harmonic* if it is a limit in C_{loc}^0 of Schatten p -harmonic maps with the same boundary data. Schatten ∞ -harmonic maps were introduced in [DU22], and their key feature is that $L := \text{Lip}(u)$ is minimized among all maps with the boundary data f . The *canonical stretch locus* of u is the set of pairs $(x, y) \in M^2$ such that $x \neq y$ and for every Lipschitz map $v : M \rightarrow N$ with the same boundary data as u , if $\text{Lip}(v) = L$, then $\text{dist}(v(x), v(y)) = L \text{dist}(x, y)$.

Problem 1.7 (Daskalopoulos–Uhlenbeck). Let M, N be simply connected Riemannian manifolds such that N is complete and nonpositively curved. (To avoid technicalities, it may help to assume that M, N are both flat.) Let $u : M \rightarrow N$ be a Schatten ∞ -harmonic map.

- (1) Show that for every $(x, y) \in M^2$ such that $x \neq y$ and $\text{dist}(u(x), u(y)) = \text{Lip}(u) \text{dist}(x, y)$, (x, y) is contained in the canonical stretch locus of u .
- (2) Show that u is a *absolutely minimizing Lipschitz map* in the sense that for every convex $U \subseteq M$, and every Lipschitz map v which agrees with u away from U , $\text{Lip}_U(u) \leq \text{Lip}_U(v)$.
- (3) Show that u is *tight* in the sense of Sheffield–Smart [SS12].

Problem 1.8 (Daskalopoulos–Uhlenbeck). Let M be a simply connected Riemannian surface, let N a nonpositively curved Riemannian symmetric space, and let \mathfrak{g} be the Lie algebra of Killing fields on N . Let $u_p : M \rightarrow N$ be Schatten p -harmonic maps with the same boundary data, converging to a Schatten ∞ -harmonic map u . Since N is a symmetric space, one obtains a Noether current dv_q from u_p , where $1/p + 1/q = 1$ and v_q maps M into \mathfrak{g} .

Show that there exists $v : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ such that:

- (1) Along a subsequence, $v_q \rightarrow v$ in $L_{\text{loc}}^{3/2}$.
- (2) $\text{supp } |dv|$ is a subset of the projection of the canonical stretch locus of u .

Thus the energy density of u_p concentrates on the canonical stretch locus of u . This holds whenever $M = N = \mathbf{H}^2$ and du_p descends to a compact quotient of M [DU22, Theorem 7.1].

2. HARMONIC ANALYSIS

For each p -form ψ on \mathbf{R}^d , the *exterior k -plane transform* of ψ is the function on the tautological bundle over the Grassmannian,

$$\mathcal{R}_k \psi(P, x) := \int_{P^\perp} \psi(x + y)|_P dy$$

where $\psi(x + y)|_P$ is the projection of $\psi(x + y)$ to the p th exterior power of P .

Problem 2.1 (Solomon). An inversion formula holds for the exterior k -plane transform on Schwartz p -forms [Sol11, Theorem 6.1]. Show that this inversion formula holds on the space of p -forms ψ , such that there exist $\varepsilon, \alpha > 0$ such that $\psi \in C_{\text{loc}}^\alpha$ and $|\psi(x)| \lesssim \langle x \rangle^{-(d+\varepsilon)}$.

Given a compact set $X \subset \mathbf{R}^d$ and $h > 0$, let $X_h := \{x \in \mathbf{R}^d : \text{dist}(x, X) < h\}$. Let

$$\mathcal{F}_h f(\xi) := (2\pi h)^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} e^{-ix \cdot \xi/h} f(x) dx$$

be the semiclassical Fourier transform. Let

$$\beta^\sharp(X, Y) := \sup\{\beta : \|1_{X_h} \mathcal{F}_h 1_{Y_h}\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \lesssim_\beta h^\beta\}.$$

denote the *sharp uncertainty exponent* of two compact sets $X, Y \subset \mathbf{R}^d$.

An *arithmetic Cantor set* is a compact set $X \subset \mathbf{R}$ such that $0 < \dim_{\mathcal{H}}(X) < 1$ and there exists an integer $M \geq 3$ and a set $A \subseteq \{0, \dots, M-1\}$ such that X is the set of $x \in \mathbf{R}$ such that there exists a sequence $(a_i) \subset A$ such that $x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i/M^i$.

Problem 2.2 (Dyatlov). Let $0 < \delta < 1$.

- (1) Show that there exists $\beta_\delta^\sharp > 0$ such that for every arithmetic Cantor set X , if $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(X) = \delta$, then for the generic $\alpha > 0$,

$$\beta^\sharp(X, \alpha X) \geq \beta_\delta^\sharp.$$

- (2) Show that the above estimate fails for $\alpha = 1$.

An *Ahlfors-David set* is a compact set $X \subset \mathbf{R}^d$ such that, with $s := \dim_{\mathcal{H}}(X)$, for every $x \in X$ and $0 < r < 1$,

$$r^s \lesssim \mathcal{H}^s(X \cap B(x, r)) \lesssim r^s.$$

The sharp implied constant here is called the *Ahlfors-David regularity* of X .

Problem 2.3. Construct arithmetic Cantor sets X_j such that:

- (1) $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(X_j) > 1 - 1/j$.
- (2) The Ahlfors-David regularity of X_j is bounded.
- (3) For some $\theta < 1$, $\beta^\sharp(X_j, X_j) \lesssim \theta^j$.

Problem 2.4 (Dyatlov). Let $X \subset \mathbf{R}^2$ be an Ahlfors-David set such that $0 < \dim_{\mathcal{H}}(X) \leq 1$, and let $\chi \in C_{\text{cpt}}^\infty((0, \infty))$. Show that there exists $\beta = \beta(X, \chi) > 0$ such that the following holds: Let

$$\mathcal{B}_h f(x) := \frac{1}{2\pi h} \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} |x - y|^{2i/h} \chi(|x - y|) f(y) dy.$$

Then

$$\|1_{X_h} \mathcal{B}_h 1_{X_h}\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \lesssim h^\beta.$$

If this estimate fails, then X is *self-orthogonal* in the sense of [BLT23].

3. DIFFERENTIAL GEOMETRY AND GEOMETRIC TOPOLOGY

Problem 3.1 (Liu). Let M be a closed oriented Riemannian manifold of dimension d . Suppose that either $d \leq 7$ or the metric on M is suitably generic. Let $\rho \in H^{d-1}(M, \mathbf{R})$. If there exists a measurable $d-1$ -form F such that $[F] = \rho$ and $\|F\|_{L^\infty} \leq 1$, must there exist a continuous $d-1$ -form with these properties?

By a calibration argument, a positive answer to this question implies that every class in the image of the natural homomorphism $H_{d-1}(M, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H_{d-1}(M, \mathbf{R})$ contains a smooth area-minimizing hypersurface. However, I expect that the proof would be utterly different than the usual proofs that minimal hypersurfaces are smooth.

Let \mathcal{T} denote the Teichmüller space of a closed surface, let $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ denote the earthquake norm on the tangent bundle of \mathcal{T} , let ω be the Weil-Petersson symplectic form on \mathcal{T} , and let $\|\cdot\|_1$ denote the dual norm of $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ with respect to ω . By Wolpert's duality theorem, $\|\cdot\|_1$ is the infinitesimal version of the Thurston asymmetric metric on \mathcal{T} .

Problem 3.2. Let $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}$. Construct a map

$$\exp_\sigma : T_\sigma \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$$

with the following properties:

- (1) On a neighborhood of 0, \exp_σ is a diffeomorphism.
- (2) For every ray ℓ based at 0, $(\exp_\sigma)_*\ell$ is a geodesic for the Thurston asymmetric metric.
- (3) Let $v \in T_\sigma \mathcal{T}$ be such that $\|v\|_\infty = 1$, and let

$$v^* := \{\alpha \in T_\sigma \mathcal{T} : \omega(v, \alpha) = \|\alpha\|_1 = 1\}$$

be the dual flat of v . Then for every sufficiently small $t > 0$, v^* is the set of infinitesimal earthquakes generated by projective measured geodesic sublamination of the canonical lamination maximally stretched by the homotopy class of

$$\text{id}_M : (M, \sigma) \rightarrow (M, \exp_\sigma(tv)).$$

The “abelianized” version of this theorem (that is, for the stable norm) is true [Bac24b, §8.3].

4. DESCRIPTIVE SET THEORY AND RECURSION THEORY

Problem 4.1. What is the algorithmic information density of the Gromov-Hausdorff space?

For our purposes, a sentence φ is *relatively consistent*, if the theory $\text{ZFC} + \varphi$ is consistent provided that the theory $\text{ZFC} + \text{“There is a measurable cardinal”}$ is consistent. The measurable cardinal is just to allow for the possibility that 2^{\aleph_0} is real-valued measurable; of course it would also be interesting to know that φ is consistent relative to ZFC alone.

Problem 4.2. A set $E \subseteq \mathbf{R}$ has a *small distance set* if

$$\dim(\{|x - y| : x, y \in E\}) = \dim E.$$

There exists $s_* < 1$ such that for every Σ_1^1 set E such that $\dim_{\mathcal{H}} E \in [s_*, 1]$, E does not have a small distance set [Fal85]. On the other hand, if Martin’s axiom is true, then for every $s \in [0, 1]$ there exists E_s such that E_s has a small distance set and $\dim_{\mathcal{H}} E_s = s$.

- (1) Show that it is relatively consistent that there exists $s \in [0, 1]$ such that for every E , if $\dim E = s$ then E does not have a small distance set.
- (2) What about Π_1^1 and Σ_n^1 sets?

Problem 4.3 (Fusco–Spector). Let X be a Polish space, let $\mathcal{B}(X)$ be the Borel σ -algebra of X , and let $\mathcal{M}(X)$ be the space of finite signed Borel measures on X , equipped with its total variation norm. A function $\psi : \mathcal{B}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is an *integral representation* of a continuous linear functional L on $\mathcal{M}(X)$, if for every $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X)$,

$$L(\mu) = \int_X \psi \, d\mu,$$

where the integral is a Kolmogorov–Burkhill integral. A modification of the arguments of [Mau73] shows that assuming Martin’s axiom, every continuous linear functional on $\mathcal{M}(X)$ has an integral representation.

Show that it is relatively consistent that there exists a continuous linear functional on $\mathcal{M}(X)$ which does not have an integral representation.

REFERENCES

- [Bac24a] A. Backus. *Minimal laminations and level sets of 1-harmonic functions*. 2024. arXiv: [2311.01541](https://arxiv.org/abs/2311.01541) [math.AP]. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2311.01541>.
- [Bac24b] A. Backus. *The canonical lamination calibrated by a cohomology class*. 2024. arXiv: [2412.00255](https://arxiv.org/abs/2412.00255) [math.DG]. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2412.00255>.
- [BLT23] A. Backus, J. Leng, and Z. Tao. *The fractal uncertainty principle via Dolgopyat’s method in higher dimensions*. 2023. arXiv: [2302.11708](https://arxiv.org/abs/2302.11708) [math.CA]. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2302.11708>.
- [DU22] G. Daskalopoulos and K. Uhlenbeck. *Analytic properties of Stretch maps and geodesic laminations*. 2022. arXiv: [2205.08250](https://arxiv.org/abs/2205.08250) [math.DG]. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2205.08250>.
- [ES08] L. Evans and O. Savin. “C1alpha regularity for infinity harmonic functions in two dimensions”. *Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations* 32 (Jan. 2008), 325–347. DOI: [10.1007/s00526-007-0143-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00526-007-0143-4).
- [Fal85] K. J. Falconer. “On the Hausdorff dimensions of distance sets”. *Mathematika* 32.2 (1985), 206–212. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1112/S0025579300010998>. eprint: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1112/S0025579300010998>. URL: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1112/S0025579300010998>.
- [Mau73] R. Mauldin. “A representation theorem for the second dual of $C[0,1]$ ”. eng. *Studia Mathematica* 46.3 (1973), 197–200. URL: <http://eudml.org/doc/217766>.
- [Sol11] B. Solomon. “X-RAYS OF FORMS AND PROJECTIONS OF CURRENTS”. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 363.1 (2011), 143–164. ISSN: 00029947. URL: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/40997325> (visited on 08/27/2023).
- [SS12] S. Sheffield and C. K. Smart. “Vector-valued optimal Lipschitz extensions”. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics* 65.1 (2012), 128–154. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1002/cpa.20391>. eprint: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/cpa.20391>. URL: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/cpa.20391>.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BROWN UNIVERSITY
Email address: aidan_backus@brown.edu