### THE FENCHEL–ROCKAFELLAR THEOREM

#### AIDAN BACKUS

## 1. Subdifferential calculus

Let X be a Banach space and let  $X^*$  be its dual space.

**Definition 1.1.** Let J be a convex function on X,  $x_0 \in X$ , and  $x^* \in X^*$ . Then  $x^*$  is a subgradient of J at  $x_0$ , if for every  $x \in X$ ,

$$J(x) - J(x_0) \ge \langle x^*, x \rangle.$$

If J has a subgradient at  $x_0$ , then J is subdifferentiable at  $x_0$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** Let J be a convex function on X and  $x_0 \in X$ . If J is continuous at  $x_0$  and  $-\infty < J(x_0) < +\infty$ , then J is subdifferentiable at  $x_0$ .

*Proof.* Let

$$\Gamma := \{ (x, t) \in X \times \mathbf{R} : J(x) \le t \}$$

be the epigraph of J. Since J is continuous at  $x_0$  and convex, and  $-\infty < J(x_0) < \infty$ ,  $\Gamma$  has nonempty and convex interior,  $\Gamma^{\circ}$ , and  $(x_0, J(x_0)) \notin \Gamma^{\circ}$ . So by the Hanh-Banach theorem, there exists an affine hyperplane  $H \subset X$  such that  $(x_0, J(x_0)) \in H$  and  $\Gamma^{\circ} \cap H = \emptyset$ . Furthermore, there exists  $(x^*, t^*) \in X^* \in \mathbf{R}$  such that  $(x^*, t^*)$  is an upwards-pointing conormal vector to H, in the sense that there exists  $s \in \mathbf{R}$  such that

$$H = \{(x,t) \in X \times \mathbf{R} : \langle x^*, x \rangle + t^*t = s\}$$

and for any  $(x,t) \in \Gamma$ ,

$$\langle x^*, x \rangle + t^* t \ge s.$$

Therefore, for any  $x \in X$ ,

$$\langle x^*, x - x_0 \rangle \ge -t^* (J(x) - J(x_0))$$

So  $-x^*/t^*$  is a subgradient of J at  $x_0$ .

# 2. The Fenchel-Rockafellar Theorem

Let  $\Lambda : X \to Y$  be a continuous linear map of Banach spaces. The situation we have in mind is that there is a manifold M, with de Rham complex  $\Omega^{\bullet}$ , and we are considering the linear map

$$\mathbf{d}: W^{1,p}(M,\Omega^k) \to L^p(M,\Omega^{k+1}),$$

where  $p \in [1, \infty)$ , but there is no need to be so explicit at this stage.

Suppose that we are given a convex function J on  $X \times Y$ , and we want to minimize

$$F(x) := J(x, \Lambda x)$$

Let

$$J^*(x^*, y^*) = \sup_{(x,y) \in X \times Y} \langle x^*, x \rangle + \langle y^*, y \rangle - J(x,y)$$

be the convex conjugate of J, which is a convex function on the dual space,  $X^* \times Y^*$ .

Date: February 7, 2025.

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. primary: 49Q20; secondary: 35J92, 49Q05, 37F34, 81T35.

**Definition 2.1.** The *dual problem* of minimizing F is the problem of maximizing

$$G(y^*) := -J^*(\Lambda^* y^*, -y^*).$$

A pair  $(\underline{x}, \overline{y}^*) \in X \times Y^*$  satisfies the *duality relation* if

$$F(\underline{x}) = G(\overline{y}^*).$$

Lemma 2.2. One has

$$\sup_{y^* \in Y^*} G(y^*) \le \inf_{x \in X} F(x).$$

*Proof.* Let  $y^* \in Y^*$ . Then

$$\begin{split} G(y^*) &= -J^*(\Lambda^* y^*, -y^*) \\ &= -\sup_{(x,y)\in X\times Y} \left[ \langle \Lambda^* y^*, x \rangle - \langle y^*, y \rangle - J(x,y) \right] \\ &= \inf_{(x,y)\in X\times Y} \left[ J(x,y) + \langle y^*, y \rangle - \langle \Lambda^* y^*, x \rangle \right] \\ &\leq \inf_{x\in X} \left[ J(x,\Lambda x) + \langle y^*, \Lambda x \rangle - \langle \Lambda^* y^*, x \rangle \right] \\ &= \inf_{x\in X} F(x). \end{split}$$

I'm not aware that there's a standard name for the below technical condition, but it's important and annoying to write out every time.

**Definition 2.3.**  $(J, \Lambda)$  is *suitable* if F is not identically  $-\infty$  and there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $F(x_0) < +\infty$  and  $y \mapsto J(x_0, y)$  is continuous at  $\Lambda x_0$ .

**Theorem 2.4** (Fenchel–Rockafellar theorem). Suppose that  $(J, \Lambda)$  is suitable. Then G has a maximum  $\overline{y}^*$  such that

$$G(\overline{y}^*) = \inf_{x \in X} F(x). \tag{2.1}$$

Proof. Let

$$h(y) := \inf_{x \in X} J(x, \Lambda x - y).$$

Since J is convex, h is convex. By suitability,

$$h(0) = \inf_{x \in X} F(x) \le F(x_0) < +\infty.$$

Also by suitability, there exists  $\varepsilon>0$  and  $M<+\infty$  such that if  $|y|<\varepsilon$  then

$$h(y) \le \inf_{x \in X} J(x, \Lambda x - y) \le J(x_0, \Lambda x_0 - y) \le M < +\infty.$$

Since h is convex, it follows that h is continuous at 0. So by Theorem 1.2, there exists  $\overline{y}^* \in Y^*$  such that for every  $y \in Y$ ,

$$h(y) \ge h(0) + \langle \overline{y}^*, y \rangle.$$

In other words,

$$\inf_{y \in Y} \left[ h(y) - \langle \overline{y}^*, y \rangle \right] \ge h(0) = \inf_{x \in X} F(x).$$

Applying this inequality, we compute

$$\begin{split} G(\overline{y}^*) &= -J^*(\Lambda^* \overline{y}^*, -\overline{y}^*) \\ &= -\sup_{(x,y)\in X\times Y} \left[ \langle \Lambda^* \overline{y}^*, x \rangle - \langle \overline{y}^*, y \rangle - J(x, y) \right] \\ &= -\sup_{(x,y)\in X\times Y} \left[ \langle \Lambda^* \overline{y}^*, x \rangle - \langle \overline{y}^*, \Lambda x - y \rangle - J(x, \Lambda x - y) \right] \\ &= -\sup_{(x,y)\in X\times Y} \left[ \langle \overline{y}^*, y \rangle - J(x, \Lambda x - y) \right] \\ &= \inf_{y\in Y} \left[ h(y) - \langle \overline{y}^*, y \rangle \right] \\ &\geq \inf_{x\in X} F(x). \end{split}$$

By Lemma 2.2, it follows that  $\overline{y}^*$  is a maximum of G and (2.1) holds.

**Corollary 2.5.** Suppose that  $(J, \Lambda)$  is suitable, and  $(\underline{x}, \overline{y}^*) \in X \times Y^*$ . Then  $(\underline{x}, \overline{y}^*)$  satisfies the duality relation iff  $\underline{x}$  is a minimum of F and  $\overline{y}^*$  is a maximum of G.

*Proof.* If  $\underline{x}$  is a minimum of F and  $\overline{y}^*$  is a maximum of G, then since  $(J, \Lambda)$  is suitable, the Fenchel-Rockafellar theorem furnishes a maximum  $\tilde{y}^*$  of G such that

$$G(\overline{y}^*) = G(\widetilde{y}^*) = \inf_{x \in X} F(x) = F(\underline{x}).$$

The converse is immediate from Lemma 2.2.

# 3. The max flow/min cut theorem

Let (V, E) be a finite directed graph such that for any  $(v, w) \in E$ ,  $(w, v) \notin E$ . The vector spaces  $\mathbf{R}^{V}$  and  $\mathbf{R}^{E}$  come with a natural inner product, which makes the Dirac delta functions at each vertex and edge form an orthonormal basis. The *exterior derivative* on (V, E) is

$$d: \mathbf{R}^V \to \mathbf{R}^E$$
$$f \mapsto ((v, w) \mapsto f(w) - f(v)).$$

**Lemma 3.1** (divergence theorem). The adjoint  $d^* : \mathbf{R}^E \to \mathbf{R}^V$  of d satisfies

$$\mathbf{d}^*\varphi(v) = \sum_{\substack{w \in V \\ (v,w) \in E}} \varphi(v,w) - \sum_{\substack{u \in V \\ (u,v) \in E}} \varphi(u,v).$$

*Proof.* We compute

$$\begin{split} \langle f, \mathbf{d}^* \varphi \rangle &= \langle \mathbf{d} f, \varphi \rangle \\ &= \sum_{(v,w) \in E} (f(w) - f(v))\varphi(v, w) \\ &\sum_{w \in V} \sum_{\substack{v \in V \\ (v,w) \in E}} f(w)\varphi(v, w) - \sum_{v \in V} \sum_{\substack{w \in V \\ (v,w) \in E}} f(v)\varphi(v, w) \\ &= \sum_{v \in V} f(v) \left[ \sum_{\substack{w \in V \\ (v,w) \in E}} \varphi(v, w) - \sum_{\substack{u \in V \\ (u,v) \in E}} \varphi(u, v) \right]. \end{split}$$

Given two vertices  $s_0, s_1 \in V$ , let

$$J: \mathbf{R}^V \times \mathbf{R}^E \to \mathbf{R}$$

satisfy

$$J(f,\varphi) = \sum_{(v,w) \in E} |\varphi(v,w)|$$

if  $f(s_i) = i$ , and  $J(f, \varphi) = +\infty$  otherwise. Then J is convex, and we are interested in minimizers  $\underline{f}$  of  $f \mapsto J(f, df)$ , such a minimizer is called a *minimal cut*.

We compute the convex conjugate,

$$J^*(g,\psi) = \sup_{(f,\varphi)\in\mathbf{R}^V\times\mathbf{R}^E}\sum_{v\in V}f(v)g(v) + \sum_{(v,w)\in E}\varphi(v,w)\psi(v,w) - J(f,\varphi).$$

This supremum can only be attained by f such that  $f(s_i) = i$ , for otherwise  $J^*$  is identically  $-\infty$ . Thus

$$J^*(g,\psi) = \sup_{\substack{f \in \mathbf{R}^V \\ f(s_i)=i}} \sum_{v \in V} f(v)g(v) + \sup_{\varphi \in \mathbf{R}^E} \sum_{(v,w) \in E} (\varphi(v,w)\psi(v,w) - |\varphi(v,w)|).$$

The dual problem, thus, is to maximize

$$\begin{split} -J^*(\mathrm{d}^*\psi,-\psi) &= -\sup_{\substack{f\in\mathbf{R}^V\\f(s_i)=i}} \sum_{v\in V} f(v)\,\mathrm{d}^*\psi(v) - \sup_{\varphi\in\mathbf{R}^E} \sum_{(v,w)\in E} (|\varphi(v,w)| - \varphi(v,w)\psi(v,w)) \\ &= \inf_{\substack{f\in\mathbf{R}^V\\f(s_i)=-i}} \left[\sum_{v\in V} f(v)\,\mathrm{d}^*\psi(v)\right] + \inf_{\varphi\in\mathbf{R}^E} \sum_{(v,w)\in E} (\varphi(v,w)\psi(v,w) - |\varphi(v,w)|). \end{split}$$

Let  $V^{\circ}$  be the interior,  $V \setminus \{s_0, s_1\}$ . In order for the first infimum to not just be  $-\infty$ , it must be that  $d^*\psi \upharpoonright V^{\circ} = 0$ , in which case the first infimum is just  $-d^*\psi(s_1)$ . For the second infimum to not just be  $-\infty$ , it must be that  $|\psi| \leq 1$ . We call  $\overline{\psi}$  which maximizes  $-J^*(d^*\psi, -\psi)$  a maximal cut.

**Theorem 3.2** (max flow/min cut theorem). There exists a minimal cut  $\underline{f}$  and a maximal flow  $\overline{\psi}$ , and for any such minimal cut and maximal flow,

$$\sum_{(v,w)\in E} |d\underline{f}(v,w)| = -d^*\overline{\psi}(s_1).$$

*Proof.* First observe that the infimum in

$$-J^*(\mathrm{d}^*\psi,-\psi) = -\mathrm{d}^*\psi(s_1) + \inf_{\varphi \in \mathbf{R}^E} \sum_{(v,w) \in E} (\varphi(v,w)\psi(v,w) - |\varphi(v,w)|),$$

if it is not  $-\infty$ , must be realized by a  $\varphi$  which has the opposite sign as  $\psi$  (since  $|\psi| \leq 1$ ). Therefore that infimum is  $\leq 0$  and so

$$-J^*(\mathrm{d}^*\psi, -\psi) \le -\mathrm{d}^*\psi(s_1).$$

Let  $f_0(s_0) = 0$  and for every  $v \neq s_0$ ,  $f_0(v) = 1$ . Then  $0 \leq J(f_0, df_0) < +\infty$  and  $\psi \mapsto J(f_0, \psi)$  is continuous. So  $f_0$  witnesses that  $(J, \Lambda)$  is suitable. Also  $f \mapsto J(f, df)$  is coercive, since if  $f(s_0) = 0$  and there exists  $v \in V$  such that  $|f(v)| \geq C$ , then there exists  $(u, w) \in E$  such that

$$|\mathrm{d}f(u,w)| \ge \frac{C}{\mathrm{card}\,E}.$$

So there is a pair  $(f, \overline{\psi})$  which satisfies the duality relation,

$$\sum_{(v,w)\in E} |\mathrm{d}\underline{f}(v,w)| = -\mathrm{d}^*\overline{\psi}(s_1) + \inf_{\varphi\in\mathbf{R}^E} \sum_{(v,w)\in E} (\varphi(v,w)\overline{\psi}(v,w) - |\varphi(v,w)|),$$

such that  $\underline{f}(s_i) = i$ ,  $|\overline{\psi}| \leq 1$ , and  $d^*\overline{\psi} \upharpoonright V^\circ = 0$ . From the constraints  $\underline{f}(s_i) = i$  and  $d^*\overline{\psi} \upharpoonright V^\circ = 0$ , we see that

$$-\mathrm{d}^*\overline{\psi}(s_1) = \sum_{v \in V} \underline{f}(v) \,\mathrm{d}^*\overline{\psi}(v),$$

and so

$$\begin{split} \sum_{(v,w)\in E} |\,\mathrm{d}\underline{f}(v,w)| &= \sum_{v\in V} \underline{f}(v)\,\mathrm{d}^*\overline{\psi}(v) + \inf_{\varphi\in\mathbf{R}^E} \sum_{(v,w)\in E} (\varphi(v,w)\overline{\psi}(v,w) - |\varphi(v,w)|) \\ &\leq \sum_{(v,w)\in V} \mathrm{d}\underline{f}(v,w)\overline{\psi}(v,w) + \sum_{(v,w)\in E} (\mathrm{d}\underline{f}(v,w)\overline{\psi}(v,w) - |\,\mathrm{d}\underline{f}(v,w)|). \end{split}$$

Rearranging and applying the constraint  $|\overline{\psi}| \leq 1,$ 

$$\sum_{(v,w)\in E} |\operatorname{d}\underline{f}(v,w)| \leq \sum_{(v,w)\in E} \operatorname{d}\underline{f}(v,w)\overline{\psi}(v,w) \leq \sum_{(v,w)\in E} |\operatorname{d}\underline{f}(v,w)|.$$

The theorem follows, since

$$\sum_{(v,w)\in E} \mathrm{d}\underline{f}(v,w)\overline{\psi}(v,w) = -\mathrm{d}^*\psi(s_1).$$

Department of Mathematics, Brown University *Email address*: aidan\_backus@brown.edu